

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,485 03/12/2004		03/12/2004	John Watt	041A.00010.U1(US)	8396	
29683	7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
		SMITH, LLP	CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN			
4 RESEARO SHELTON,		_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
51122131, 51 VOIGI 6212				1746		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					12				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/799,485	5	WATT, JOHN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sharidan C	arrillo	1746					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with ti	he correspondence addre	ess				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nication. days, a reply within the statut tory period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the applic	ort, however, may a reply b ory minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS cation to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comr ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 March 2004.							
	This action is FINAL . 2b								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti			• • •					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three transfers of the transfer								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	l) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) ⁻ O/SB/08) ⁵	Paper No(s)/Mai		52)				

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for gas turbine engine components, does not reasonably provide enablement for any workpiece. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims embrace an invention which contains any known workpiece, which could/can be selected from literally thousands. It does not appear to be feasible that any workpiece would function in the present invention. Further, for one skilled in the art to reproduce the present invention (which must be possible, if the specification is adequate), there would clearly be undue experimentation to do so in an attempt to figure out which workpieces work and which ones do not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,485 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monteath (3350223).

Montheath Jr. teaches cleaning cooling systems of internal combustion engines using mobile cleaning device 10. In col. 8 and col. 9 bridging, Monteath teaches connecting the hoses of the device 10 to the engine block and radiator. Monteath teaches flowing air (col. 8, lines 68-70) followed by pumping hot alkali cleaning solution, ceasing the flow of the alkali solution, followed by purging with air, then water, ceasing the water flow and then purging with air. The hoses are then disconnected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monteath (3350223).

Monteath fails to teach cleaning oil scavenge tubes of a gas turbine engine.

However, it would have been within the level of the skilled artisan to have modified the method of Monteath to include cleaning oil scavenge tubes since oil scavenge tubes are engine components and further since Monteath teaches using the portable device for cleaning the engine block, radiator, heater, and any auxiliary components of the engine.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monteath (3350223) in view of Fletcher Jr. et al. (5845684).

Monteath teaches the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of a filtration device. Fletcher Jr. et al. teach a portable device for flushing a coolant system, the device further containing a filter assembly 30 for filtering contaminants. It would have within the level of the skilled artisan to have modified the method of Monteath to include a filtering means as taught by Fletcher Jr. for filtering contaminants.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bartos teaches cleaning a turbine engine. Larson teaches a mobile cleaning unit. Booth teaches a portable cleaner. Mooney teaches a method of cleaning oxygen lines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 571-272-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:00a.m-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

bsc

SHARIDAN CARRILLO SHARIDAN CARRILLO